App.F.IX-4

1925.

File No. 196-25

Previous References (Mysore)

Mys 461-20-17

" " 22, 24-25.

" " 23

" " 19, 20 & 21

Later references. 8 11

12 - 17.

Serial Nos. 1 4

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 4

Part B for June 1926.

SUBJECT

Recommends that the purchase of the land (Sy. No. 179 of Begur, Bangalore Taluq) may be sanctioned for enabling as it came to Sri Rama Devara temple at Upparahalli

G.O. No. 1632-3 dated 16.6.26 — To the Mys. Govt / " Comptt

Records the Report from the Mys. Govt.

G. R. S. 11] 1925

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Muzrai)

NOTES.

File No. 196
1925.

FILL HEADING.

Grant of wet S. no. 179 of Begur, Bangalore Tal
as inam to Sri Rama Devaru temple at Upparaha
Bangalore Taluk in lieu of inam S. no. 24 of Blackpalli
village, e & m Station, Bangalore, acquired by e & m. Station authorit

From

The Inam Commr

No. C.657 Dated 15/1/1926 Received 16/1/1926.

Diary No.	Enclos	Spare Copies	Serial No.
802	1320	—	1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Recommends that the purchase of the land
refd to may be sanctioned, reserving as inam only
so much as would carry a jodi of ~~Rs~~ 1-12-0
the balance of assessment being levied from the

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Prehaps a Kavar jodi

The Inam Commr's letter explains the
facts of the case.

2) S. no. 24 which was 19 guntas in extent
held a jodi of ~~Rs~~ 1-12-0 was given to

NOTES—First Sheet.

Serial No.

Sri Rama Devara temple at Upparahalli. As the land was in the midst of houses in Black palli, C & M. Station, being a source of nuisance from a Sani point of view, and was unfit cultivation, its sale by public auction by C & M Station authorities was ordered (Vide S.G. No. 502-3 dt 2.8.24 and the sale proceeds viz Rs 2033/ was invested in the name of the idol.

IV

It is reported that the said S.no. 179 of Begur village in the Bangalore Taluk, measuring 3-9-36 and assessed at Rs 28/ yields about 20 candies, and both the Dy. Commr and the Asst Commr recommend its purchase at an payment of a sum of Rs 1600/ & the owner one of which the full worth amount of Rs 1250/ together with the interest is to be paid to one Ramappa - the mortgagee of this land.

P

Q

3) Submitted for orders as to whether the A.C's recommendation may be sanctioned.

23.1.26.

This is a very advantageous bargain for the temple and has to be closed as early as possible, as [illegible]

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

and Revenue
retariat

MW2 File No. 196 — of 1925.

Serial No.

Mr Hardy may not keep his office open for a long time.

4) The M.C's recommendation may be sanctioned

may best, be Sanctioned, as recommended by the D.C & the M.C

Rev. Sec'y) 28/1

23-1-26

5) The Mining Commissioner's recommendation may be sanctioned — Before completing the transaction, it has to be ascertained by enquiry from the Sale Register & records, from the enquiry if the title of the ~~vendor~~ intending seller is clear & established ~~by records~~

(One of the sale proceeds in. Noted in the S.B a sum of Rs 350 appears to have been paid to the Contractor under the authority of Mining Commissioner's order of 8.8.23.

X b) In this office letters No 711 M1 of 29.8.22 & 692 L1 of 11.8.22, reports were called for on the points of the Contractor claiming compensation out of the Sale proceeds. Whether any reports were received from the M.C and if so how they were dealt with. Should be noted on by the office before submission —)

26-1-26.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01264 –GPB –40,000—17

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 196

App. F. III—2]

of 192 S.

Serial No.

B,

7) Under item no. 18 of cl. B app to G.O. no. 1332-63/mez.63-22 D 25-9-1922, the m.c. has power to sanction repairs of mez insts up to Rs 2500 out of their funds. In the present case, the improvements were effected to an inam land to a mez inst., and it does not appear that the m.c. has in and in sanctioning payment on his authority, especially when a repa had been called for from Govt.

Archak's petition D 7.3.1923) P,

L,, M,.

8) no references are readily traceable to show that the repairs called for in the Archak's petition were received from the mez. Cmte and orders passed thereon. may further enquiry be made before submr. for orders.

7/5 27.1.26

26.1.26 1st member 27.1.26.

9) I agree with the mez cmte recommendation from appeal point of view. The matter should be considered in the light of the remarks of the Revenue Member.

10) As the payment of Rs 350 made to the Archak and the sale proceeds, a report may be called about the circumstances under which it was made from the T.C. and also as to why action was not taken earlier.

By Immediate 27/1/26

NOTE—Contn Sheet

and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 1926 of 192 5

Serial No.

12) Dft. G.O. submitted for approval
AL
28.1.26
Rev. Secy

785
28.1.26.

1st member

13) Please see

14) Dft. G.O. revised and re-submitted for approval.
AL
30.1.26.
Rev. Secy

15) Supdt. will please speak. Will there not be loss of Judicial 1.12- by the arrangement suggested in the draft?
D.2

16) Though the course as suggested in the redink remarks of the Member in the enclosed draft is easy, yet it results in a loss of Rs 1-12-0 per year. A revised draft as per order of the Member is however submitted for approval & orders.
5/2/26
Rev. Secy

1st member

17)

18)
10/2

19) Issued
12/2

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

General and Revenue Secretariat | Mus. File No. 196 | [App. F. II] of 1925

Serial No

2 To mus Commr, Compln, Supln, Rev Surve & Rev Commr No 1056-9 of 19.2.26

Sanctions the mus Commr's recommendation & calls for report as to why the sum of Rs 350 was paid by the M.C. to the Archak out of the sale proceeds when a report had been called for on the subject.

Mus 22/2

3. From. The mus Commr

Letter no C.657 of 30.4.1926.

Reports the circumstances under which the charge rep. b in Sl. 2 which was quite unavoidable, was incurred, and requests that the action may be kindly approved.

21) May the M.C's letter be recorded?

Please put up a draft recording. 20/5

22) Submit for orders.

M 19.5.26 19.5.26

23) Dft. G.O. is submitted

M 21.5.26 21.5.26

24) Regd to the G.O. (Pgh) last para.

25) In the circumstances reported by the M.C., the charge incurred may be accepted. The M.C's letter may now be recorded.

26) Draft is put up for approval or other orders.

Rev. Secy) 21/5

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No

27) The Mirzai Commissioner says that such payments are within his powers of sanction. Put up any refles as his powers

CRO 22.5.26

28) A printed copy of G.O. no. 1332-63 Dy 23.9.25 Sept. 1922 indicating the powers to be exercised by the Mirzai Comr., Dy. Comrs. of Dists. and such in Mirzai matters is submitted.

AM 26.5.26 (The M.C's payment in question may perhaps fall under Item 18 Column B of the G.O put up

Rev. Sec'y) 27/5

29) It is clear that if the Mirzai Commissioner was competent to sanction the payment of any money out of the compensation awarded for the acquisition of land belonging to the temple

30) Draft recording the communication is put up for consideration & orders

2nd member) 31.5.26

31) Agd 10.6.26

4.6.26

4.6

NOTE— Contn. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-

App. F. III—2]

mur File No. 196

of 192 5

Serial No.	
4	To, Mur Commr & Comptr No 1632-3 dt 16.6.26. Records the above Communication

R 26/4/26

NOTE—Contn Sheet

No. C657 of 25-6 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.
are on file

Dated Bangalore, 13th January 1926-
15

DIARY No. 1111

30229

From

REVD. & PEV. BRANCH
13 JAN 1926
G. D. SECTION.

B. Ramaswamaiya, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

19/5/1926
SECTION Muz
FILE No. 196-2
No. 1

To

B. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Revenue Department.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit herewith copy of letter No.C.3 1500-25 dated 4th December 1925 received from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District stating that the archak of the Sri Ramadevaru temple at Upparahalli Bangalore Taluk has selected a suitable wet land, S.No.179 of Begur village, in the Bangalore Taluk measuring A-G 3-36 assessed at Rs.23/- for purchase to the -- institution out of the sum of Rs.1683/- available in the savings Bank account being the compensation amount awarded for the --- acquisition of the land bearing S.No.24 which was 19 guntas in extent and endowed to the aforesaid institution at the place and that the owner of the said wet land has agreed to part with it for a sum of Rs.1600/- out of which the full mortgage amount of Rs.1250 together with the interest thereon from 1923 is to be paid to one Narnappa the mortgagee, and that the Amildar reports that there is no other encumbrance on the land and recommending the -- purchase of the said wet land yielding about 20 candies according to the Sub Division Officer.

(Vide Sl.No.1)

I agree with the Deputy Commissioner's recommendation and request that the purchase of the land may be sanctioned, treating as Inam only as much land as would carry a jodi of Re.1-12-0. The balance of assessment will be levied from the Archak as ----

The file of vernacular records received from the Commissioner is enclosed herein for perusal and kind return.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

S. Ramaswamy

Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

Letter No.C.S-1600-25 dated 4th December 1925 from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District to the Mysore Commissioner in Mysor

---

"I have the honor to state that as per Government Order No.613 3 Mus.461-20-7 dated 1st May 1922 a sum of Rs.2033/- being the amoun of compensation awarded for the acquisition of S.No.24 which was 0-1 in extent with a jodi of Rs.1-12-0 endowed to the Sri Ramadevaru --- temple at Honnahalli, Bangalore Taluk, was deposited in the Govern- ment Savings Bank accounts for the purchase of another land to be -- given to the Institution in exchange as ordered in Government Order No.502-3/Mus.461-20-4 dated 2nd August 1921. Out of the compensatio amount, a sum of Rs.350/- was paid to the Archak as compensation for the compound wall built by him as per your No.A1 Dis.Sel of 24-25 -- dated 15th May 1923, leaving a balance of Rs.1683 in the Savings Bank account in the name of the Institution.

2. The archak of the temple has since selected S.No.179 of Begur village, Bangalore Taluk, a wet land measuring 3-36 guntas assessed at Rs.23/- and the owner Muniyappa (who is also the Khatedar) has agreed to part with the land as per his statement dated 4th May 1925 before the Amildar. The Khatedar states in his statement that he is willing to part with the land for Rs.1600/- that he has mortgaged the land to one Naranapp for Rs.~~2~~ 1250/- in the year 1923, that there - is no other encumbrance on the land besides this mortgage. The --- mortgagee Naranappa says in his statement that he has no objection -- to return the document and other references with him, provided the full mortgage amount with the interest are paid to him.

3. The Sub Division officer, Bangalore Sub Division after ----- inspecting the wet land proposed to be brought in exchange of Inam lands acquired, has submitted his report stating that the land may be expected to yield about 20 candies and the share of the temple would be about Rs.150/- in value and that in his opinion the land can be purchased for Rs.1600/- for the temple.

4. The land now proposed to be brought for the temple cannot be treated as 'Inam' fully as it has a higher survey valuation than the original Inam acquired, which was 0-~~8~~ 14 guntas in extent with a jodi of Rs.1-12-9, while theland now proposed to be purchased 3-36 guntas with an assessment of Rs.23/-.

5. I recommend that the p ase of the lands selected for the

the purpose may be sanctioned as proposed by the

the patta being made in the name of the Temple.

6. The file of papers ending with Bangalore Sub Division memo no.H.C.84/25-26 dated 6th November 1925 is submitted herewith.

"C o p y"

for muzrai Commissioner.

KSR. 1/4

Proceedings of the Government of H.H the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read.-

Letter No.C.657 dated the 15th January 1926 from the Mazrai Commissioner in Mysore recommending the grant of wet S.No. 179 of Begur, Pangalore Taluk as Inam to Sri Ramadevaru temple at Upparahalli, Bangalore Taluk in lieu of Inam S.No. 24 acquired by C & M Station authorities.

Order No. 1056-9---- dated 29th Feby 1926
Mazr 196-25-2

S.No. 24 measuring 19 guntas in extent with a jodi of Rs. 1-12- 0 was assigned as Inam for the support of Sri Ramadevaru temple at Upparahalli, Bangalore Taluk and a sum of Rs. 2033/-, being the realisations from the sale of the land which was acquired by the C. & M. Station authorities was deposited at the credit of the temple, in the Government Savings Bank, for the purchase of another land to be given to the institution in exchange as ordered in Govt. Pros. No. 502-3/Mz.461-20-4 dated 2nd August 1921. Out of the said amount a sum of Rs. 300/- is reported to have been paid to the Archak under the orders of the Mz. Commr. towards the cost of the construction of a compound wall and other sundry works carried out by him for the temple, leaving the balance of Rs.1683 now available for the use of the temple.

Print
Q.
2/2/26

2. The Deputy Commissioner now recommends

recommends the purchase of (wet) S.No.1 of Begur village, Bangalore Taluk, measuring 3 acres and 33 guntas and assessed at Rs. 23/- yielding about 20 candies, for the institution on payment of a sum of Rs. 1600/- to the owner. This land is said to be mortgaged to one Narappa, it is proposed to pay off the full mortgage amount together with interest, and to absorb the land for the temple a clear title to the land. The Muzrai Commissioner requests sanction to this proposal and recommends further that only as much land as would carry a jodi of Rs. 1-12-0 be treated as ~~maximum~~ Inam the balance of ~~a~~ssessment being levied from the Archak as Kassar Jodi, & The Khata of the land being made out in the name of the temple.

3. Under the circumstances reported, the Muzrai Commissioner's recommendation for the purchase of ~~wet~~ S.No. 179 (the Begur land) for the benefit of Sri Ramadevaru temple in question is sanctioned, and the whole S. No ~~Lake~~ will be treated as Inam, the excess of the assessment over the ~~assess~~ assessment of the land now acquired being ~~to~~ treated as Jodi. ~~Before the completing~~ (Jodi. Rs. 1-12 and Shist) before the transaction, ~~it should be ascertained by reference~~ (examined, Satisfy himself) by reference to the Sub Registrar and necessary further enquiry ~~if~~ (that) the title of the (Mr. Venkatadri Setty) property is clear and established.

4. As regards the payment of Rs. 350/- made to the Archak out of the sale proceeds, the Muz. Commissioner is requeste

No. 7117/Muz.461-20-(m)
dated 29-6-1922.
No. 692/Muz.461-2025
dated 11-8-1922.

requested to ~~submit a~~ report ~~about~~ the circumstances under which it was ordered by him and why orders of Government were not obtained therefor, though a report was called for in the subject in the marginally noted communications from this office.

Revenue Secretary.

To

The Muz. Commr. (returning records.
The Comptroller to Government.
The Supdt. of Rev. Survey and Settlement.
The Revenue Commissioner.

Copy to Rev. Branch.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read.-

Letter No.C.657 dated the 15th January 1926 from the Muzra Commissioner in Mysore recommending the grant of wet Survey No.17(of Begur, Bangalore Taluk as Inam to Sri Ramadevaru temple at Upp rahalli, Bangalore Taluk in lieu of Inam S.No.24 acquired by Civi and Military Station authorities.

Order No. 256-J-25-2

Dated, Bangalore, the 19th February 1926.

Survey No. 24 measuring 19 guntas in extent with a Jodi of Rs. 1-12-0 which had been assigned as Inam for the support of Sri Ramadevaru temple at Upparahalli, Bangalore Taluk was acquired by the Civil and Military Station authorities and a sum of Rs.2033/- being the realisations from the sale of the land was deposited at the credit of the temple, in the Government Savings Bank, for the purchase of another land to be given to the institution in exchang as ordered in Government Proceedings No. 502-3/Muz.461-20-4 dated the 2nd August 1921. Out of the said amount a sum of Rs. 350/- is reported to have been paid to the Archak under the orders of th Muzrai Commissioner towards the cost of the construction of a compound wall and other sundry works carried out by him for the temple, leaving the balance of Rs. 1683/- available for the use of the temple.

2. The Deputy Commissioner now recommends the purchase of S.No. 179 (wet) of Begur village, Bangalore Taluk, measuring three acres and 36 guntas and assessed at Rs. 23/- yielding about 20 candies, for the institution on payment of a sum of Rs. 1600/- to the owner. As this land is said to be mortgaged to one Narasappa, it is proposed to pay off the full mortgage amount together with interest, from the above sum, so as to obtain for the temple a clear title to the land. The Muzrai Commissioner requests sanc-

sanction to this proposal and recommends further that only as much land as would carry a Jodi of Rs. 1-12-0 be treated as Inam the balance of assessment being levied from the Archak as Kanaar Jodi and the title of the land being made out in the name of the temple.

3. Under the circumstances reported, the Huzrat Commissioner's recommendation for the purchase of the Sagur land for the benefit of Sri Ramadevaru temple in question is sanctioned, ~~and~~ the whole Survey ~~Kax~~ number will be treated as Inam, the excess of the assessment over the assessment of the land now acquired being treated as additional Jodi. The Huzrat Commissioner should before the transaction is completed, ~~satisfactorily~~ satisfy himself by reference to the Sub-Registrar and necessary further enquiry, that the title of the intending seller to the property is clear and established.

4. As regards the payment of Rs. 350/- made to the Archak out of the sale proceeds, the Huzrat Commissioner is requested to report the circumstances under which it was ordered by him and why orders of Government were not obtained therefor, though a report was called for on the subject in the marginally noted communications from this office

G.O. No. 7117/Inn.461-20 dated 20-6-1922.
602/Inn.461-20-25 dated 11-3-1923.

By Order of the Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

To

The Huzrat Commissioner in Mysore (returning records)
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.
The Superintendent of Revenue Survey and Settlement.
The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

~~Copy to the Revenue Secretary~~

Spare Copy

C.H.G.7.

O.C.6570/25-26
Enclosure one bile

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 30th April 1926.

From

U. S. Balasundaram, Esq., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

GEN. & R-V SECRETARIAT.
MAY 1926
MUZRAI
D1286
muz 196-25-3

To

Dr. ...

1 MAY 1926

G. D. SECTION

The Private Secretary, Esq., B.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Sir,

Adverting to the last para of the Government order No.10860-9 Muz.196-25-3 dated 15th February 1926 directing to report the circumstances under which the payment of Rs.350/- was made to the archak of Sri Ramaswamy temple at Uppanahalli Bangalore Taluk, I have the honour to state that the communications referred to in the last para of the aforesaid Government order were addressed to the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District by the Sheristadar to Cutcherry Muzrai Department in charge. As the Muzrai Commissioner's office was subordinately formed and the power of sanctioning such payments was vested in the Muzrai Commissioner a payment of Rs.350/- was on the recommendation of the local officers authorised to the archak to reimburse him the cost of putting up a compound wall, constructing a drain and filling pits - all work of the civil nature usually executed by the Civil and Military Station authorities. The change was immaterial, since it was quite unavoidable.

In these circumstances, I request that the action may be ... kindly approved.

Copy of letter No.3-1100-25 dated 10th March 1926 from the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District together with his office file D1 Dis.319 of 25-26 dated 15th May 1925 is also submitted. This

He explains the circumstances of the case.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

S. Balasundaramiyer

Asst. Collector.

Letter No.C.3-1500-25 dated 10th March 1926 on the Dy. Commissioner Bangalore District to the Mysore Commissioner in Mysore.

"With reference to your memo No.C.657/25-26 dated 25th February 1926 forwarding for further action copy of Government order No.1056-Mus.196-25-2 dated 16th February 1926 on the grant of wet Survey No.179 of Begur village in the Bangalore Taluk as Inam to Sri Ramadevaru temple at Upparahalli, Bangalore Taluk, I have the honor to state that necessary orders have been issued to the Amildar to report after consulting the Sub-Registrar as regards other encumbrances, if any, on the land and a further report will be made on receipt of the Amildar's report.

2. The payment of Rs.350/- was made to the Archak in accordance with your order No.C.1538/22-23 dated 3/8th May 1923. This office file --- No.D1 Dis.319/22-23 containing in original the communications from -- Government called for by you is herewith forwarded for reference and return."

"C o p y"

for Mysore Commissioner.

Muz. 7]

SUBJECT.....

Diary No of letter replied to.....

SECTION Muz.
FILE No. 196-25
SERIAL No. 4

Muzrai Secretariat
( )
the 192

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Date of issue 16/6/26

Signed by. Muz, N.

Read— G.O. no. 1056-9/Muz 196-25 dy 19th February 19 Sanctioning the purchase (survey no 23 in Village) The Begur Land for the benefit of Sri Rama Devar temple at Appavahalli in the Bangalore Taluk out of the compensation awarded for the acquisition of S. no 24 Uppadrapalli originally endowed to the inam and enquiring as to why Land amount, a sum of Rs 350/ was paid out of the said amount to the trustees as compensation for a Compound wall built by

May this be

i Printed?

ii treated as a standing order?

iii published in the Gazette, English Part?

iv translated?

v placed at the disposal of the press?

vi published in the Gazette, Kannada Part?

Order Thereon No.

without obtaining the orders of Govt through ~~a report to be called for from them~~

Letter no C.657 dt 25th April 1926 from the Insp. Genl reporting the circumstances under which the charge Rs. 60 which was spent unauthorised has been incurred, & requesting that the action taken by him, may be kindly approved.

That the power of sanctioning such payments was vested in them and that, in the present case, the a compensation of Rs 350 was sanctioned to the Arcnak, on the recommendation of local officers, to reimburse him for certain works constructed in obedience to Govt orders issued by the ~~Civil Auth~~ municipal authorities of the Sub station

Under Secretary's
W.1632-3 dt 16/6/26
Original file
~~Approved~~. Recorded

List of enclosures.

Nil 6.26

Dy 22.5.26
Asst Secretary
21/5/26

The Insp Gen: of
Comptr No. 2

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0566—2000—GPB—29-7-22